

NHMRC REMOVES JOURNAL IMPACT FACTORS FROM PEER REVIEW OF INDIVIDUAL RESEARCH GRANT AND FELLOWSHIP APPLICATIONS

On the advice of Research Committee, NHMRC will no longer request Journal Impact Factors (JIF) as part of any applications for funding nor use these in peer review of individual applications.

Journal Impact Factor is not a sound basis upon which to judge the impact of individual papers.

The JIF of any journal does not describe the impact, importance or quality of any individual paper. Instead, it describes the average citations for *all* papers in that journal over a two year period and thus is not a description of the impact of each paper.

Citations of individual papers in any journal range from zero to many hundreds, even thousands for a landmark or methodological paper. Regardless of the JIF of the journal, whether high or low, the range and variance of citations to individual papers is very large.

It is therefore not logically sound to equate the "impact" of the journal, with the "impact" of each paper in that journal.

The simplistic example below may help to illustrate this point. Let's compare two imaginary journals that each published 20 articles in the years 2007 and 2008. The 2009 impact factor for each of the two journals is calculated by taking the average of all 2009 citations to those articles published in 2007 and 2008.

Individual papers for period 2007-2008	Number of citations in 2009 for each article in the <i>Journal</i> of AAA	Number of citations in 2009 for each article in the <i>Journal of BBB</i>
1	1	0
2	5	9
3	0	14
4	25	0
5	3	17
6	11	16
7	0	2
8	0	0
9	1	0
10	13	23
11	0	12
12	4	14
13	2	18
14	6	0
15	0	18
16	35	6
17	11	21
18	1	19
19	3	4
20	10	0
Total citations	131	193
Journal Impact Factor for 2009	6.55	9.65

In this hypothetical example, two articles in the *Journal of AAA* were cited more than any article was cited in the *Journal of BBB*. It is reasonable to conclude that these two articles in the *Journal of AAA* gained more recognition from other researchers than any article in the *Journal of BBB*. But if only the overall impact factor for the journals is considered, then reviewers are making the assumption that *all* the papers in *Journal BBB* are more "impactful" than *any* in *Journal AAA*.

I am sure that peer reviewers understand that, though *Nature* has a higher JIF than, say, *Journal of Biochemistry* and the *Medical Journal of Australia*, nevertheless a paper in the *Journal of Biochemistry* with 100 citations, or in the *Medical Journal of Australia* with 50 citations, has achieved more "impact" in terms of citations that a *Nature* paper with, say, 20 citations (See comment in *Nature* editorial below).

Is the impact of an individual paper better assessed by the citation rate of the individual paper?

Here too there are complications that make the application of simplistic interpretation fraught with bias and error.

First and most importantly, there is not of course a 1:1 relationship between the citation rate of a paper and either its quality or impact.

Secondly, some papers come and go while others have enduring citation patterns.

Thirdly, different disciplines have different citation practices and ethos. Trained expert bibliometrics professionals are able to factor this into analysis, whereas most peer reviewers are either not aware of this, or do not have access to the information.¹ A further complication is that many journals often do not publish within a single discipline. Furthermore, reviews are often more highly cited than individual papers, but the latter are the truly creative end of publications and the former are reliant on them.

The quality and importance of a journal article is, in the end, a peer review judgment. That judgment needs to take into account many factors, including but not limited to the ambition of the research (small salami publication or *magnum opus*), the quality of the methodology, how much the field is advanced by the research, whether there is a direct outcome of its publication, the relative contribution of the authors (e.g. a small team, or a large multi-authored paper with each author just contributing a small slice), and so on. To try to address such matters, some peer review systems restrict the assessment of publication output to just five papers, which each reviewer is expected to have read and evaluated.

High impact journals themselves do not support use of the JIF in judging individuals

A *Nature* editorial in 2005 pointed out that 89% of the 2004 impact factor was generated by just 25% of all published papers in *Nature* that year.² The mouse genome published that year had already generated over 1000 citations but, as the *Nature* editorial pointed out, this paper is "an important point of reference rather than an expression of unusually deep mechanistic insight". They point out that "the great majority of our papers received fewer than 20 citations", and that "the citation rate... varies sharply between disciplines". Finally, they conclude that "the net result of all these variables is that impact factors don't tell us as much as some people may think about the respective quality of the science that journals are publishing. ...None of this would really matter very much, were it not for the unhealthy reliance on impact factors by administrators and researchers' employers worldwide to assess the scientific quality of nations and institutions, and often even to judge individuals".

April 2010

-

¹ NHMRC is considering whether such information could be embedded within our new RGMS system to assist peer reviewers.

² Not-so-deep impact. Nature 2005 vol 435 pp 1003-1004.

The organisation that produces the Journal Impact Factor (Thomson Reuters) also opposes its use for individual papers. To quote:

"The JIF is a journal-level metric designed for one purpose—to compare the citation impact of one journal with other journals. Regarding the use of the JIF in research evaluation, it can take one of two main forms:

- An indicator of success achieved in having an article accepted by a prestigious journal.
- A surrogate for a more carefully derived direct measure of citation impact.

While the first use may have some utility, the second appears difficult to justify. The first use has some justification. There is a hierarchy of journals within subject areas. This hierarchy broadly corresponds to impact rankings, and, in a formal communication system based on peer review, acceptance of an article for publication in one of these journals is an important scholarly achievement. Rewards based on this achievement can be seen as encouraging scholars to aim high in their publishing goals. The data is thus appropriate to the question being asked.

It is not recommended to use the JIF as a surrogate for more direct measures in such concepts as a "total impact factor" or other calculations in which the JIF stands in for article performance, and further calculations are performed on lists of JIFs. It is very hard to see how such data, so manipulated, are appropriate for any question related to evaluation or comparison. We must remember that publication in journals with a high impact factor does not necessarily indicate a high citation count for one's articles. A small group of very highly cited papers in a journal can cause a high impact factor".³

NHMRC Conclusion:

A peer review system that gives prime consideration to the impact factor of journals for peer review of individual applications is unfair and unscholarly.⁴

Its use in this way is supported neither by high impact journals themselves, nor by the originators of the impact factor.

Therefore, NHMRC will no longer call for inclusion of impact factors in applications nor use journal impact factors in peer reviewed evaluations.

April 2010 3

³ Thomson Reuters. Using bibliometrics: a guide to evaluating research performance with citation data. 2008

⁴ This is not to argue that we should not encourage our grantees to publish in high impact journals.

Further reading: - for those interested in reading more about bibliometrics and related matters.

- __ 'Not-so-deep impact', Nature, vol. 435, no. 7045, 2005. pp. 1003-1004.
- __'The impact factor game. It is time to find a better way to assess the scientific literature', PLoS Medicine / Public Library of Science, vol. 3, no. 6, Jun 2006. p. e291.
- Adams, A. B. & Simonson, D. **'Publication, citations, and impact factors of leading investigators in critical care medicine'**, *Respiratory Care*, vol. 49, no. 3, Mar 2004. pp. 276-281.
- Adams, J., Gurney, K., & Marshall, S. 'Profiling citation impact: A new methodology', *Scientometrics*, vol. 72, no. 2, Aug 2007. pp. 325-344.
- Adusumilli, P. S., Chan, M. K., Ben Porat, L., Mullerad, M., Stiles, B. M., Tuorto, S., & Fong, Y. 'Citation characteristics of basic science research publications in general surgical journals', *Journal of Surgical Research*, vol. 128, no. 2, Oct 2005. pp. 168-173.
- Ajao, O. G. & Lawoyin, T. O. 'Guidelines for assessment of publications for contribution to scholarship: a view point', West African Journal of Medicine, vol. 24, no. 4, Oct 2005. pp. 338-341.
- Al Benna, S. & Clover, J. 'The role of the journal impact factor: choosing the optimal source of peer-reviewed plastic surgery information', *Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery*, vol. 119, no. 2, Feb 2007. pp. 755-756.
- Amin, M. & Mabe, M. 'Impact factors: use and abuse' Perspectives in Publishing, vol 1, Oct 2000. pp. 1-6.
- Andersen, J., Belmont, J., & Cho, C. T. 'Journal impact factor in the era of expanding literature', *Journal of Microbiology, Immunology & Infection*, vol. 39, no. 6, Dec 2006. pp. 436-443.
- Anseel, F., Duyck, W., De Baene, W., & Brysbaert, M. 'Journal impact factors and self-citations: implications for psychology journals', *American Psychologist*, vol. 59, no. 1, Jan 2004. pp. 49-51.
- Aphinyanaphongs, Y., Statnikov, A., & Aliferis, C. F. 'A comparison of citation metrics to machine learning filters for the identification of high quality MEDLINE documents', *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, vol. 13, no. 4, Jul 2006. pp. 446-455.
- Bain, C. R. & Myles, P. S. 'Relationship between journal impact factor and levels of evidence in anaesthesia', *Anaesthesia & Intensive Care*, vol. 33, no. 5, Oct 2005. pp. 567-570.
- Baker, R. & Jackson, D. 'Using journal impact factors to correct for the publication bias of medical studies', *Biometrics*, vol. 62, no. 3, Sep 2006. pp. 785-792.
- Barbosa, P. R. 'Can we accurately measure the impact of published articles in modern Internet Era?', *International Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 120, no. 3, 3 Sep 2007. p. 410.
- Barbui, C., Cipriani, A., Malvini, L., & Tansella, M. 'Validity of the impact factor of journals as a measure of randomized controlled trial quality', *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, vol. 67, no. 1, Jan 2006. pp. 37-40.
- Bernstam, E. V., Herskovic, J. R., Aphinyanaphongs, Y., Aliferis, C. F., Sriram, M. G., & Hersh, W. R. 'Using citation data to improve retrieval from MEDLINE', Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, vol. 13, no. 1, Jan 2006. pp. 96-105.
- Bhandari, M., Busse, J., Devereaux, P. J., Montori, V. M., Swiontkowski, M., Tornetta, I. P., Einhorn, T. A., Khera, V., & Schemitsch, E. H. 'Factors associated with citation rates in the orthopedic literature', *Canadian Journal of Surgery*, vol. 50, no. 2, Apr 2007. pp. 119-123.

- Brink, A. J. 'Impact factor: use and abuse', Cardiovascular Journal of Southern Africa, vol. 15, no. 1, Jan 2004. pp. 5-7.
- Broome, M. E. 'Ratings and rankings: judging the evaluation of quality', *Nursing Outlook*, vol. 53, no. 5, Sep 2005. pp. 215-216.
- Cartwright, V. A. & McGhee, C. N. 'Ophthalmology and vision science research. Part 1: Understanding and using journal impact factors and citation indices', *Journal of Cataract & Refractive Surgery*, vol. 31, no. 10, Oct 2005. pp. 1999-2007.
- Cheek, J., Garnham, B., & Quan, J. 'What's in a number? Issues in providing evidence of impact and quality of research(ers)', *Qualitative Health Research*, vol. 16, no. 3, Mar 2006. pp. 423-435.
- Chew, M., Villanueva, E. V., & Van Der Weyden, M. B. 'Life and times of the impact factor: retrospective analysis of trends for seven medical journals (1994-2005) and their Editors' views', *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine*, vol. 100, no. 3, Mar 2007. pp. 142-150.
- Chung, K. C. 'Discussion: Value of citation numbers and impact factors for analysis of plastic surgery research', *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery*, vol. 120, no. 7, 2007. pp. 2092-2094.
- Cimmino, M. A., Maio, T., Ugolini, D., Borasi, F., & Mela, G. S. 'Trends in otolaryngology research during the period 1995-2000: a bibliometric approach', Otolaryngology Head & Neck Surgery, vol. 132, no. 2, Feb 2005. pp. 295-302.
- Coats, A. J. 'Top of the charts: download versus citations in the International Journal of Cardiology', International Journal of Cardiology, vol. 105, no. 2, 2 Nov 2005. pp. 123-125.
- Courtney, M. & Jones, J. 'Impact fever: what is it all about?', Australian Journal of Advanced Nursing, vol. 23, no. 4, Jun 2006. pp. 6-7.
- Currie, G. M. & Wheat, J. M. 'Impact factors in nuclear medicine journals', *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, vol. 48, no. 8, Aug 2007. pp. 1397-1400.
- Curtis, W. F. & Hunter, J. G. 'What the impact factor means for surgery journals', World Journal of Surgery, vol. 30, no. 8, Aug 2006. pp. 1368-1370.
- Della, S. S. & Crawford, J. R. 'Impact factor as we know it handicaps neuropsychology and neuropsychologists', *Cortex*, vol. 42, no. 1, Jan 2006. pp. 1-2.
- Della, S. S. & Crawford, J. R. 'A double dissociation between impact factor and cited half life', *Cortex*, vol. 43, no. 2, Feb 2007. pp. 174-175.
- Dellavalle, R. P., Schilling, L. M., Rodriguez, M. A., Van de, S. H., & Bollen, J. 'Refining dermatology journal impact factors using PageRank', *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*, vol. 57, no. 1, Jul 2007. pp. 116-119.
- Devos, P., Lefranc, H., Dufresne, E., & Beuscart, R. 'From bibliometric analysis to research policy: the use of SIGAPS in Lille University Hospital', Studies in Health Technology & Informatics, vol. 124, 2006. pp. 543-548.
- Epstein, I. 'Following in the footnotes of giants: citation analysis and its discontents', *Social Work in Health Care*, vol. 41, no. 3-4, 2005. pp. 93-101.
- Epstein, R. J. 'Journal impact factors do not equitably reflect academic staff performance in different medical subspecialties', *Journal of Investigative Medicine*, vol. 52, no. 8, Dec 2004. pp. 531-536.
- Eysenbach, G. 'Citation advantage of open access articles', Plos Biology, vol. 4, no. 5, May 2006. p. e157.

- Falagas, M. E., Kouranos, V. D., Arencibia-Jorge, R., & Karageorgopoulos, D. E. 'Comparison of SCImago journal rank indicator with journal impact factor', *The FASEB Journal*, vol 22, no. 8, Aug 2008. pp. 2623-2628.
- Falagas, M. E., Michalopoulos, A. S., Bliziotis, I. A., & Soteriades, E. S. 'A bibliometric analysis by geographic area of published research in several biomedical fields, 1995-2003', CMAJ Canadian Medical Association Journal, vol. 175, no. 11, 21 Nov 2006. pp. 1389-1390.
- Favaloro, E. J. 'Medical research in New South Wales 1993-1996 assessed by Medline publication capture', Medical Journal of Australia, vol 169, no. 12, 15 Jun 1998. pp. 617-622.
- Favaloro, E. J. 'Measuring the quality of journals and journal articles: the impact factor tells but a portion of the story', Seminars in Thrombosis and Hemostasis, vol 34, no. 1, 2008. pp. 7-25.
- Fenton, J. E., O'Connor, A., Ullah, I., Ahmed, I., & Shaikh, M. 'Do citation classics in rhinology reflect utility rather than quality?', Rhinology, vol. 43, no. 3, Sep 2005. pp. 221-224.
- Fersht, A. **'The most influential journals: Impact Factor and Eigenfactor'**, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 106, no. 17, 28 Apr 2009. pp. 6883-6884.
- Freshwater, D. 'Impact factors and relevance of research outputs: one step forward, two back?', Journal of Psychiatric & Mental Health Nursing, vol. 13, no. 5, Oct 2006. pp. 473-474.
- Friedberg, E. C. 'Journal impact factor, 2004', DNA Repair, vol. 4, no. 10, 2005. pp. 1066-1067.
- Fu, L. D., Wang, L., Aphinyanagphongs, Y., & Aliferis, C. F. 'A comparison of impact factor, clinical query filters, and pattern recognition query filters in terms of sensitivity to topic', *Medinfo*, vol. 12, no. Pt 1, 2007. pp. 716-720.
- Gambadauro, P. & Torrejon, R. 'Impact factor and the quality of research: What is a rose defined by, its name or its scent? Comment on "Obstetrical and Gynecological Writing and Publishing in Europe" [Eur. J. Obstet. Gynecol. Reprod. Biol. 129 (2006) 119-123]', European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology, & Reproductive Biology, vol. 134, no. 2, Oct 2007. pp. 269-270.
- Garfield, E. **'The history and meaning of the journal impact factor'**, *JAMA*, vol. 295, no. 1, 4 Jan 2006. pp. 90-93.
- Gluud, L. L., Sorensen, T. I., Gotzsche, P. C., & Gluud, C. 'The journal impact factor as a predictor of trial quality and outcomes: cohort study of hepatobiliary randomized clinical trials', *American Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 100, no. 11, Nov 2005. pp. 2431-2435.
- Gottlieb, L. N. & Clarke, S. P. 'Impact factors and the law of unintended consequences', Canadian Journal of Nursing Research, vol. 37, no. 4, Dec 2005. pp. 5-10.
- Greenwood, D. C. 'Reliability of journal impact factor rankings', BMC Medical Research Methodology, vol 7, 2007. p. 48.
- Griffiths, P. D., Mahy, B., Nagai, Y., & Shao, Y. 'The impact of impact factors on Reviews in Medical Virology', Reviews in Medical Virology, vol. 17, no. 5, Sep 2007. pp. 299-300.
- Hakansson, A. 'The Impact Factor--a dubious measure of scientific quality', Scandinavian Journal of Primary Health Care, vol. 23, no. 4, Dec 2005. pp. 193-194.
- Ha, T. C., Tan, S. B., & Soo, K. C. 'The journal impact factor: too much of an impact?', *Annals of the Academy of Medicine, Singapore*, vol. 35, no. 12, Dec 2006. pp. 911-916.

- Hakkalamani, S., Rawal, A., Hennessy, M. S., & Parkinson, R. W. 'The impact factor of seven orthopaedic journals: factors influencing it', Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery British Volume, vol. 88, no. 2, Feb 2006. pp. 159-162.
- Haynes, B. 'Reliance on bibliometric databases can let you down', *Nature*, vol. 446, no. 7137, 12 Apr 2007. p. 725.
- Hendee, W. R. 'Scientific citation indices are useful in evaluating medical physicists for promotion and tenure. Against the proposition', *Medical Physics*, vol. 33, no. 1, Jan 2006. pp. 2-3.
- Hirsch, J. E. 'Does the H index have predictive power?', Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, vol. 104, no. 49, 4 Dec 2007. pp. 19193-19198.
- Holden, G., Rosenberg, G., & Barker, K. 'Bibliometrics: a potential decision making aid in hiring, reappointment, tenure and promotion decisions', *Social Work in Health Care*, vol. 41, no. 3-4, 2005. pp. 67-92.
- Holden, G., Rosenberg, G., & Barker, K. 'Shallow science or meta-cognitive insights: a few thoughts on reflection via bibliometrics', *Social Work in Health Care*, vol. 41, no. 3-4, 2005. pp. 129-148.
- Holden, G., Rosenberg, G., Barker, K., & Onghena, P. 'An Assessment of the Predictive Validity of Impact Factor Scores: Implications for Academic Employment Decisions in Social Work', Research on Social Work Practice, vol. 16, no. 6, 2006. pp. 613-624.
- Holden, G., Rosenberg, G., Barker, K., & Onghena, P. 'Should decisions about your hiring, reappointment, tenure, or promotion use the impact factor score as a proxy indicator of the impact of your scholarship?', Medgenmed [Computer File]: Medscape General Medicine, vol. 8, no. 3, 2006. p. 21.
- Hussain, F. N. & Husnain, A. 'Impact factor: is it an essential tool to assess a medical journal?', JCPSP, Journal of the College of Physicians & Surgeons Pakistan, vol. 17, no. 6, Jun 2007. pp. 382-383.
- Ironside, P. M. 'Advancing the science of nursing education: rethinking the meaning and significance of impact factors', *Journal of Continuing Education in Nursing*, vol. 38, no. 3, May 2007. pp. 99-100.
- Jahandideh, S., Abdolmaleki, P., & Asadabadi, E. B. 'Prediction of future citations of a research paper from number of its internet downloads', *Medical Hypotheses*, vol. 69, no. 2, 2007. pp. 458-459.
- Janda, A. 'Link popularity and other quality criteria used in evaluation of paediatric information resources on the internet', *Technology and Health Care*, vol. 13, no. 5, 2005. pp. 406-407.
- Jeang, K. T. 'Impact factor, H index, peer comparisons, and Retrovirology: is it time to individualize citation metrics?', Retrovirology, vol. 4, 2007. p. 42.
- Johnstone, M. J. 'Journal impact factors: implications for the nursing profession', *International Nursing Review*, vol. 54, no. 1, Mar 2007. pp. 35-40.
- Jones, A. W. 'Mode of classification of source material as citable items skews journal impact factor calculations', Scandinavian Journal of Clinical & Laboratory Investigation, vol. 65, no. 7, 2005. pp. 623-625.
- Kaltenborn, K.-F. 'The journal impact factor as a parameter for the evaluation of researchers and research', Revista Espanola de Enfermedades Digestivas, vol. 96, no. 7, 2004. pp. 460-476.
- Kam, P. C. 'Impact factor: overrated or misused? -editorial-', Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, vol. 33, no. 5, Oct 2005. pp. 565-566.

April 2010

- Kamath, P. S. & Bologna, G. 'Impact factor misused and overhyped?', *Hepatology*, vol 49, no. 6, Jun 2009. pp. 1787-1789.
- Kanthraj, G. R. 'Journal impact factor', Indian Journal of Dermatology, Venereology & Leprology, vol. 72, no. 4, Jul 2006. pp. 322-325.
- Kelly, C. D. & Jennions, M. D. **'H-index: age and sex make it unreliable'**, *Nature*, vol. 449, no. 7161, 27 Sep 2007. p. 403.
- Ketcham, C. M. 'Predicting impact factor one year in advance', *Laboratory Investigation*, vol. 87, no. 6, Jun 2007. pp. 520-526.
- Kirchhof, B., Bornfeld, N., & Grehn, F. 'The delicate topic of the impact factor', *Graefes Archive for Clinical & Experimental Ophthalmology*, vol. 245, no. 7, Jul 2007. pp. 925-927.
- Klein, W. C. & Bloom, M. 'Bibliometrics: The best available information?', Social Work in Health Care, vol. 41, no. 3-4, 2005. pp. 117-121.
- Kostoff, R. 'The difference between highly and poorly cited medical articles in the journal Lancet', *Scientometrics*, vol. 72, no. 3, Sep 2007. pp. 513-520.
- Kuper, H., Nicholson, A., & Hemingway, H. 'Searching for observational studies: what does citation tracking add to PubMed? A case study in depression and coronary heart disease', BMC Medical Research Methodology, vol. 6, 2006. p. 4.
- Kurmis, A. P. & Kurmis, T. P. 'Exploring the relationship between impact factor and manuscript rejection rates in radiologic journals', *Academic Radiology*, vol. 13, no. 1, Jan 2006. pp. 77-83.
- Kuroki, L. M., Allsworth, J. E., & Peipert, J. F. 'Methodology and analytical techniques used in clinical research: associations with journal impact factor', Obstetrics & Gynecology, vol 114, no. 4, Oct 2009. pp. 877-884.
- Labanaris, A. P., Vassiliadu, A. P., Polykandriotis, E., Tjiawi, J., Arkudas, A., & Horch, R. E. 'Impact factors and publication times for plastic surgery journals', *Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery*, vol. 120, no. 7, Dec 2007. pp. 2076-2081.
- Lau, S. L. & Samman, N. 'Levels of evidence and journal impact factor in oral and maxillofacial surgery', International Journal of Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery, vol. 36, no. 1, Jan 2007. pp. 1-5.
- Lenhard, M. S. 'Limitations of the impact factor', European Journal of Obstetrics Gynecology and Reproductive Biology, vol. 134, no. 2, 2007. pp. 270-271.
- Leon, R. & Bayat, A. 'Part 3: medical literature and impact factors', *British Journal of Hospital Medicine*, vol. 68, no. 2, Feb 2007. p. M24-M25.
- Levsky, M. E., Rosin, A., Coon, T. P., Enslow, W. L., & Miller, M. A. 'A descriptive analysis of authorship within medical journals, 1995-2005', *Southern Medical Journal*, vol. 100, no. 4, Apr 2007. pp. 371-375.
- Ligon, J. & Thyer, B. A. 'Bibliometrics and social work: a two-edged sword can still be a blunt instrument', *Social Work in Health Care*, vol. 41, no. 3-4, 2005. pp. 123-128.
- Lin, Y., Li, W., Chen, K., & Liu, Y. 'A document clustering and ranking system for exploring **MEDLINE** citations', *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, vol. 14, no. 5, Sep 2007. pp. 651-661.

- Loonen, M. P., Hage, J. J., & Kon, M. 'Who benefits from peer review? An analysis of the outcome of 100 requests for review by Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery', *Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery*, vol. 116, no. 5, Oct 2005. pp. 1461-1472.
- Loonen, M. P., Hage, J. J., & Kon, M. 'Value of citation numbers and impact factors for analysis of plastic surgery research', *Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery*, vol. 120, no. 7, Dec 2007. pp. 2082-2091.
- Lopez-Abente, G. & Munoz-Tinoco, C. 'Time trends in the impact factor of Public Health journals', BMC Public Health, vol. 5, no. 1, 18 Mar 2005. p. 24.
- Lumley, J. & Daly, J. 'How do we rate? Using the Research Quality Framework to good effect', Australian & New Zealand Journal of Public Health, vol. 31, no. 5, Oct 2007. p. 403.
- Lynch, J. R., Cunningham, M. R., Warme, W. J., Schaad, D. C., Wolf, F. M., & Leopold, S. S. 'Commercially funded and United States-based research is more likely to be published; good-quality studies with negative outcomes are not', *Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery American Volume*, vol. 89, no. 5, May 2007. pp. 1010-1018.
- Manske, P. R. 'The impact of the impact factor', Journal of Hand Surgery American Volume, vol. 29, no. 6, Nov 2004. pp. 983-986.
- Martyn, C. 'The malign influence of impact factors', Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, vol. 98, no. 12, Dec 2005. p. 556.
- McGhee, C. N. 'Cataract and refractive surgery: reflecting on journal impact factors and influence', *Journal of Cataract & Refractive Surgery*, vol. 31, no. 10, Oct 2005. pp. 1849-1850.
- McGhee, C. N. J. 'Considering journal impact factor and impact of the journal in the electronic age', *Clinical and Experimental Ophthalmology*, vol. 32, no. 5, 2004. pp. 457-459.
- McVeigh, M. E. 'Beyond impact factors: understanding the data in the journal citation reports', *Physiologist*, vol. 47, no. 6, Dec 2004. pp. 458-460.
- Mueller, P. S., Murali, N. S., Cha, S. S., Erwin, P. F., & Ghosh, A. K. 'The association between impact factors and language of general internal medicine journals', *Swiss Medical Weekly*, vol. 136, no. 27-28, 8 Jul 2006. pp. 441-443.
- Mueller, P. S., Murali, N. S., Cha, S. S., Erwin, P. J., & Ghosh, A. K. 'The effect of online status on the impact factors of general internal medicine journals', *Netherlands Journal of Medicine*, vol. 64, no. 2, Feb 2006. pp. 39-44.
- Nayak, B. K. 'The enigma of impact factor', *Indian Journal of Ophthalmology*, vol. 54, no. 4, Dec 2006. pp. 225-226.
- Nicol, M. B., Henadeera, K., & Butler, L. 'NHMRC grant applications: a comparison of "track record" scores allocated by grant assessors with bibliometric analysis of publications', *Medical Journal of Australia*, vol. 187, no. 6, 17 Sep 2007. pp. 348-352.
- Nieminen, P., Carpenter, J., Rucker, G., & Schumacher, M. 'The relationship between quality of research and citation frequency', BMC Medical Research Methodology, vol. 6, 2006. p. 42.
- Nieminen, P., Rucker, G., Miettunen, J., Carpenter, J., & Schumacher, M. 'Statistically significant papers in psychiatry were cited more often than others', *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, vol. 60, no. 9, Sep 2007. pp. 939-946.

- Obremskey, W. T., Pappas, N., Attallah-Wasif, E., Tornetta, P., III, & Bhandari, M. 'Level of evidence in orthopaedic journals', *Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery American Volume*, vol. 87, no. 12, Dec 2005. pp. 2632-2638.
- Ogden, T. L. & Bartley, D. L. 'The ups and downs of journal impact factors', *Annals of Occupational Hygiene*, vol 52, no. 2, 2008. pp. 73-82.
- Pasterkamp, G., Rotmans, J. I., de Kleijn, D. V. P., & Borst, C. 'Citation frequency: a biased measure of research impact significantly influenced by the geographical origin of research articles', *Scientometrics*, vol 70, no. 1, Jan 2007. pp. 153-165.
- Patsopoulos, N. A., Analatos, A. A., & Ioannidis, J. P. 'Relative citation impact of various study designs in the health sciences', *JAMA*, vol. 293, no. 19, 18 May 2005. pp. 2362-2366.
- Plikus, M. V., Zhang, Z., & Chuong, C. M. 'PubFocus: semantic MEDLINE/PubMed citations analytics through integration of controlled biomedical dictionaries and ranking algorithm', *BMC Bioinformatics*, vol. 7, 2006. p. 424.
- Porta, M., Fernandez, E., Murillo, J., Alguacil, J., & Copete, J. L. 'The bibliographic "impact factor", the total number of citations and related bibliometric indicators: the need to focus on journals of public health and preventive medicine', *Sozial- und Praventivmedizin*, vol. 49, no. 1, 2004. pp. 15-18.
- Reeve, J. 'Can research quality be estimated from journal titles?', Rheumatology, vol. 45, no. 5, May 2006. pp. 646-647.
- Reynolds, T. M. & Wierzbicki, A. S. 'Does activity in research correlate with visibility?', *Journal of Clinical Pathology*, vol. 57, no. 4, Apr 2004. pp. 426-427.
- Rogers, D. W. 'Scientific citation indices are useful in evaluating medical physicists for promotion and tenure. For the proposition', *Medical Physics*, vol. 33, no. 1, Jan 2006. pp. 1-2.
- Rohrich, R. J. & Sullivan, D. 'The role of the journal impact factor: choosing the optimal source of peer-reviewed plastic surgery information', *Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery*, vol. 117, no. 7, Jun 2006. pp. 2495-2498.
- Ronco, C. 'Scientific journals: who impacts the impact factor?', *International Journal of Artificial Organs*, vol. 29, no. 7, Jul 2006. pp. 645-648.
- Roussakis, A. G. 'What does impact factor depend upon?', *Journal of B.U.ON.*, vol. 12, no. 3, 2007. pp. 415-418.
- Ryan, C., Tewey, B., Newman, S., Turner, T., & Jaeger, R. J. 'Estimating research productivity and quality in assistive technology: a bibliometric analysis spanning four decades', *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems & Rehabilitation Engineering*, vol. 12, no. 4, Dec 2004. pp. 422-429.
- Schaffner, A. 'Journal impact factors depend on more than just publication language', Swiss Medical Weekly, vol. 136, no. 27-28, 8 Jul 2006. pp. 411-412.
- Schulman, C. C. 'What you have always wanted to know about the impact factor and did not dare to ask', *European Urology*, vol. 48, no. 2, Aug 2005. pp. 179-181.
- Scully, C. 'The positive and negative impacts, and dangers of the impact factor', *Community Dental Health*, vol. 24, no. 3, Sep 2007. pp. 130-134
- Scully, C. & Lodge, H. 'Impact factors and their significance; overrated or misused?', British Dental Journal, vol. 198, no. 7, 9 Apr 2005. pp. 391-393.

- Semenzato, G., Rizzato, G., & Agostini, C. 'Impact factor as measure of scientific quality', American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine, vol. 169, no. 9, 1 May 2004. pp. 1070-1071.
- Sevinc, A. 'Manipulating impact factor: an unethical issue or an Editor's choice?', Swiss Medical Weekly, vol. 134, no. 27-28, 10 Jul 2004. p. 410.
- Shehata, F. & Tulandi, T. 'Evaluation of impact factor using two different methods', *Human Reproduction*, vol 24, no. 12, 2009. pp. 3237-3238.
- Simons, K. 'The misused impact factor', Science, vol 322, no. 5899, 10 Oct 2008. p. 165.
- Smith, E. R. 'Journal impact factor: What does it mean?', Canadian Journal of Cardiology, vol. 20, no. 9, 2004. pp. 922-923.
- Smith, E. R. 'The journal impact factor', Canadian Journal of Cardiology, vol. 22, no. 9, 2006. pp. 787-788.
- Smith, R. 'Commentary: the power of the unrelenting impact factor--is it a force for good or harm?', *International Journal of Epidemiology*, vol. 35, no. 5, Oct 2006. pp. 1129-1130.
- Smoller, B. R. 'Impact factor: certainly a factor, but just whom does it impact? Important lessons from another discipline', *Journal of Cutaneous Pathology*, vol. 33, no. 6, Jun 2006. pp. 458-461.
- Swedlove, F. 'Implications of the impact factor', Canadian Journal of Occupational Therapy Revue Canadienne d'Ergotherapie, vol. 73, no. 1, Feb 2006. pp. 3-6.
- Thomson Reuters. 'Using bibliometrics: a guide to evaluating research performance with citation data', 2008.
- Tsay, M. Y. & Yang, Y. H. 'Bibliometric analysis of the literature of randomized controlled trials', *Journal of the Medical Library Association*, vol. 93, no. 4, Oct 2005. pp. 450-458.
- Tse, H. 'A possible way out of the impact factor game', Nature, vol 454, no. 7207, 2008. pp. 938-939.
- Urquhart, C. 'From epistemic origins to journal impact factors: What do citations tell us?', *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, vol. 43, no. 1, Jan 2006. pp. 1-2.
- Vakil, N. 'The journal impact factor: judging a book by its cover', *American Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 100, no. 11, Nov 2005. pp. 2436-2437.
- van Driel, M. L. 'Measuring the impact of family medicine research: Scientific citations or societal impact?', Family Practice, vol. 24, no. 5, 2007. pp. 401-402
- van Raan, A. F. J. 'Comparison of the Hirsch-index with standard bibliometric indicators and with peer judgment', *Scientometrics*, vol. 67, no. 3, Jun 2006. pp. 491-502.
- von Troschke, J. & Stossel, U. 'New horizons for impact discussions needed', *Sozial- und Praventivmedizin*, vol. 49, no. 1, 2004. p. 25.
- Vucovich, L. A., Baker, J. B., & Smith, J. T. Jr. 'Analyzing the impact of an author's publications', *Journal of the Medical Library Association*, vol 96, no. 1, Jan 2008. pp. 63-66.
- Wallin, J. A. 'Bibliometric methods: pitfalls and possibilities', Basic & Clinical Pharmacology & Toxicology, vol. 97, no. 5, Nov 2005. pp. 261-275.
- Watts, G. 'Beyond the impact factor', BMJ, vol 338, no. 7692, 21 Feb 2009. pp. 440-441.

- Weale, A. R., Bailey, M., & Lear, P. A. 'The level of non-citation of articles within a journal as a measure of quality: a comparison to the impact factor', *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, vol. 4, 28 May 2004. p. 14.
- Wedzicha, J. A., Johnston, S. L., & Mitchell, D. M. 'Journal impact factors for 2004: another rise for Thorax', *Thorax*, vol. 60, no. 9, Sep 2005. p. 712.
- Weingart, P. 'Impact of bibliometrics upon the science system: Inadvertent consequences?', *Scientometrics*, vol. 62, no. 1, Jan 2005. pp. 117-131.
- Wendl, M. C. 'H-index: however ranked, citations need context', *Nature*, vol. 449, no. 7161, 27 Sep 2007. p. 403.
- Yanada, M., Narimatsu, H., Suzuki, T., Matsuo, K., & Naoe, T. 'Randomized controlled trials of treatments for hematologic malignancies: study characteristics and outcomes', *Cancer*, vol. 110, no. 2, 15 Jul 2007. pp. 334-339.
- Yousefi-Nooraie, R. 'Country development and manuscript selection bias: A review of published studies', BMC Medical Research Methodology, vol. 6, 2006. p. 37.
- Yue, W., Wilson, C. S., & Boller, F. 'Peer assessment of journal quality in clinical neurology', *Journal of the Medical Library Association*, vol. 95, no. 1, Jan 2007. pp. 70-76.
- Zwahlen, M., Junker, C., & Egger, M. 'The journal impact factor in the evaluation of research quality: villain, scapegoat or innocent bystander?', *Sozial- und Praventivmedizin*, vol. 49, no. 1, 2004. pp. 19-22.